International application No. PCT/US99/13959

	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		Ì
	C07K 16/46; A61K 39/44 530/387.1, 403; 424/180.1, 193.1		
. According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	national classification and IPC	
	DS SEARCHED		
Minimum do	ocumentation searched (classification system followed	by classification symbols)	
U.S. : 5	530/387.1, 403; 424/180.1, 193.1		
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included	n the fields searched
	ata base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base and where practicable.	search terms used)
Electronic a	ata case consulted during the international scalon (na	mo of data base and, where processes,	,
		·	
c. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	BURT, D. S. et al., Analysis of	the interaction between rat	1-27
1	immunoglobulin E and rat mast cells i	ising anti-peptide antibodies,	
	Molecular Immunology, 1987, Vol. 24	I, No. 4, pages 379-389.	
Y	BURT, D. S. et al., Inhibition of bindi	ng of rat IgE to rat mast cells	1-27
	by synthetic IgE peptides, Eur. J. Imn	nunol., 1987, Vol. 17, pages	
	437-440, see entire document.		
(		11 Linding size of human	1 27
Υ	VERCELLI, D. et al., The B-ce	TUDE 20 A == 1 1000 Vol	1-27
	immunoglobulin E, LETTERS TO NA		
	338, pages 649-651, see entire docume	ent.	
X Furth	ner documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	. See patent family annex.	
• Sp	occial categories of cited documents:	<sup>4</sup> T* later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the appl	rnational filing date or priority
"A" do	cument defining the general state of the art which is not considered	the principle or theory underlying the	
	be of particular relevance rlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the	e claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive step
"I." do	cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	when the document is taken alone	
cit	ted to establish the publication date of another citation or other ecial reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is
	cument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	combined with one or more other sucl being obvious to a person skilled in t	documents, such combination
*P* do	cans  comment published prior to the international filing date but later than	*&* document member of the same patent	
	e priority date claimed actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	irch report
	EMBER 1999	/ <b>07</b> OCT 19	999,
		Authorited Art / / //	//- 1 1
Name and a Commission Box PCT	mailing address of the ISA/US oner of Patents and Trademarks	Authorized officer	Cllink
	on, D.C. 20231	THOMAS CONNINGHAM	//
Facsimile N	No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-0196	

International application No.
PCT/US99/13959

0-4	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Category*	Chadon of document, while indication, where appropriate, or the contract of	
ď	HELM, B. et al., The mast cell binding site of human immunoglobulin E, Nature, 14 January 1988, vol. 331, pages 180-183, see entire document.	1-27
Y	WO 93/04173 A1 (GENENTECH INC) 04 March 1993, see entire document.	1-27
	-	

International application No. PCT/US99/13959

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)				
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
1. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:				
2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	such			
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4	(a).			
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:				
Please See Extra Sheet.				
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all claims.	searchable			
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invi	te payment			
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search re only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	port covers			
4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-27	ch report is			
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				

International application No. PCT/US99/13959

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

- I. Group I, claims 1-27, directed to peptides, peptide conjugates, polymeric peptide products and methods of using such products to induce antibodies.
- II. Group II, claim 28, directed to nucleic acids encoding the peptide products of Group I.

In view of conversations with the Applicant's attorney it is presumed that the inventive concept hinges on the identity of the IgE-CH3 domain and not on the T helper epitope to which it is attached. The T helper epitopes such as those recited by SEQ ID NOS: 9-12, 61-82 and 84 are therefore considered to have the same or corresponding technical features.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they tack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is directed to peptide products which lack the same or corresponding structural and functional features of Group II which is directed to nucleic acids.